

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,339	12/04/2003	Donald W. Taylor	DE010DT-1	1133	
7590 10/19/2006			EXAMINER		
MICHAEL K. BOYER ORSCHELN MANAGEMENT CO			MULCAHY, PETER D		
2000 US HWY 63 SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOBERLY, MI 65270			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summers		Applicatio	No. Applicant(s)					
		10/729,33	9	TAYLOR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Peter D. M		1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH FR 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Q	08 August 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	, .	,					
	Claim(s) <u>2,7-18 and 21-35</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	✓ Claim(s) <u>2 and 7-18</u> is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 21-35 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
		nd/or election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/8/06</u> .	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/729,339 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2 and 7-18 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lauterbach US 4,614,674 or JP-11116889.

The rejection set forth under 35 USC 103 in the paper mailed 12/30/05 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

- 1. The newly amended claims and the remarks filed in support hterof have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive.
- 2. Applicants argue that the prior art does not teach removing conventional curing agents and replaceing the conventional curing agents with the claimed acrylate curing agents. This is not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/729,339 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Response to Arguments

3. In response to applicant's argument that the art fails to recognize the use of the acrylates as curing agents, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the art fails to recognize the use of the acrylates as curing agents, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.
- 5. The use of the acrylate as an adsorbent in JP-'889 is not germane to the patentability of the claimed invention. The art renders obvious the claimed composition.
- 6. With respect to the USPN '674, one need not equate the claimed acrylate with the flattening agent as argued. The fact that the acrylate compound is used in the system is sufficient to render the claimed composition obvious.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

10/12/06